

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/085,457	BUCHEGGER, SONJA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew Heneghan	2134	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Matthew Heneghan.

(3) _____.

(2) Attorney Douglas W. Cameron.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 May 2006

Time: 3:12 PM EDT

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In a reply phone message to a phone message from the Examiner, Attorney Cameron stated that a reply to the previous office action may have inadvertently not been sent.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)